

WSC 2018 Report

Note: Words in bold print represent how the Minnesota Region voted
CAR Motions are paraphrased

Who is here

- 114 Regions present, Iran and New Zealand participating virtually
- 5 zonal delegates present
- 157 men 66 woman
- 38 countries
- 28 languages

CAR Motions and Proposals

Rules and Tools

- For every CAR motion we will not hear from the Maker or the Wold Board
 - The Maker could choose to enter the que during discussion, if there is less than concencious.
 - Results from straw poll: consensus support- 103 **yes**, 10 no
 - Results from final vote: strong support- 108 **yes**, 5 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
- Reduce all speakers time from 3 minutes to 2 minutes
 - People that need translations will be given longer time
 - Results from straw poll: support- 70 **yes**, 42 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Results from 2nd straw poll: strong support- 88 **yes**, 25 no, 1 abstention
 - Results from 3rd straw poll: strong support- 86 **yes**, 26 no, 1 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Results from final vote: strong support- 86 **yes**, 26 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 27- To adopt for WSC 2018 only... eliminate formal old business. If the first straw poll reaches consensus, we can decide to move forward without discussion, or hear any amendments, and move to final vote.
 - Results from first straw poll: consensus support- 100 **yes**, 5 no, 2, abstain, 7 present not voting
 - Results from final vote: consensus support- 104 **yes**, 5 no, 1 abstain, 4 present not voting
- 26- To adopt for WSC 2018 only... all decisions at the conference, excluding elections, require a $\frac{2}{3}$ present and voting affirmative threshold to be adopted.
 - Results from first straw poll: consensus support- 93 **yes**, 9 no, 5 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Poll to move to final vote without hearing any discussion or amendments- support: 74 **yes**, no 38, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting

- Results from final straw poll: consensus support- 101 **yes**, 9 no, 2 abstain, 1 present not voting
- 26C- except proposals to adjourn, appeal the facilitator, postpone, close debate, and reconsider, these would be 50%+1
 - Results from first support: lack of support- 55 **yes**, 52 no, 2 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Results from 2nd straw poll: lack of support- 50 **yes**, 53 no, 2 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - Results from the final vote: 50 **yes**, 57 no, 3 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 28- To address the CAT decisions the same as the CAR
 - This means a 2/3rds threshold is required, and we also the option to not hear any discussion or amendments if the initial straw reaches consensus
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 90 **yes**, 14 no, 2 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Final vote: consensus support- 99 **yes**, 11 no, 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 29- See motion 29 to see all the proposed changes to new business processes
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 93 **yes**, 13 no, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Final vote: consensus support- consensus support- 101 **yes**, 8 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 30- To approve minutes from WSC 2016
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 103 **yes**, 0 no, 3 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - Final vote: consensus support- 105 **yes**, 0 no, 2 abstain, 5 present not voting

Remote Participation

- 25- to authorize seated regions that are attending virtually to fully participate
 - Poll to vote on the substitution rather than the motion: consensus support- 92 **yes**, 14, no, 1 abstention, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd pull to substitute: consensus support- 96 **yes**, 13 np, 2 abstention, 1 present not voting
- 25A- (substitution) to adopt this into policy
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 107 **yes**, 3 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Straw poll to move forward without hearing additional amendments: consensus support- 95 **yes**, 13 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Final vote: consensus support- 106 **yes**, 2 no, 0 abstain, 3 present not voting
- 25B- amendment to research ways for non seated regions to participate virtually

- Motion not heard/discussed
- Out of order anyway

Literature

- 6- To produce key tags for decade(s) clean
 - First straw poll: lack of support- lack of support- 49 yes, 57 **no**, 5 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support- 43 yes, 64 **no**, 5 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote: lack of support- 37 yes, 72 **no**, 2 abstain, 3 [present not voting
- 6D- Decade(s) keytags in english only
 - First straw poll: 38 yes, 70 **no**, 5 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong lack of support- 27 yes, 83 **no**, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting.
 - Poll to withdraw amendment: consensus support- 93 **yes**, 16 no, 2 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Vote to withdraw: consensus support- 99 **yes**, 10 no, 2 abstain, 3 present not voting
- 3- Direct WB to create a project plan for a step study guide from the questions out of the Basic text
 - First straw poll: lack of support- lack of support- 39 yes, 68 **no**, 6 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support- 54 **yes**, 56 no, 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 3rd straw poll: support- 60 **yes**, 49 no, 2 abstentions, 2 present not voting
 - WB felt moved by discussion and asked if we would like to commit this to the board. Everyone clapped.
- 3G- changes the wording to make it not limited to question only in the basic text
 - First straw poll: consensus not in support- 18 yes, 86 **no**, 4 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong lack of support- 27 yes, 80 no, 5 **abstain**, 2 present not voting
 - Final vote- fails: 26 yes, 83 **no**, 3 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 1- To direct WB to create a project plan to convert service pamphlet Social Media into a recovery IP
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 100 **yes**, 7 no, 4 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Adopted initial straw poll as final vote
- 2- To remove IP 27 For Parents and Guardians of Young People in NA
 - First straw poll: consensus not in support- 6 yes, 106 **no**, 2 abstain, 0 present not voting
 - Adopted initial straw poll as final vote

- 5- To approve NA service prayer substituting the word “write” to “serve” including it in a set of posters for meetings.
 - First straw poll: strong support- 89 **yes**, 21 no, 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Final vote: strong support- 79 yes, 29 **no**, 2 abstain, 3 present not voting
- 4- Provide an MP3 of 5th edition basic text in spanish audio
 - First straw poll: 42 yes, 65 no, 5 **abstain**, 2 lack of support
 - 2nd straw poll: strong lack of support- 36 yes, 72 no, 2 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Final vote- fails: 34 yes, 74 no, 2 **abstain**, 4 present not voting
- 4J- Convert cassettes into MP3 (see proposal for full wording)
 - First straw poll: strong lack of support- 34 yes, 63 **no**, 8 abstain, 9 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: consensus not in support- 16 yes, 85 **no**, 4 abstain, 7 present not voting
 - Final vote: failed-18 yes, 82 **no**, 4 abstain, 8 present not voting

FIPT

- 7- To make a pamphlet that states it's okay to reprint literature according to the FIPT
 - First straw poll: support- 64 yes, 45 **no**, 4 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote- fails: 74 yes, 38 **no**, 2 abstain, 0 present not voting
- 8- To replace paragraph under “what kind of literature should we use” in Group Boolet a detailed list of do's and don'ts (see motion for exact wording)
 - First straw poll: consensus lack of support-19 yes, 87 **no**, 3 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Adopted first straw poll as final vote

Other WSC-related

- 22- To discontinue WSC Participants discussion board
 - Motion to hear substitute motion first- was not voted on but verbally decided that we would deal with the substitute motion as a regular amendment
 - First poll- lack of support: 46 **yes**, 51 no, 2 abstain, 9 present not voting
 - 22nd straw poll: 44 **yes**, 57 no, 6 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - Final vote- fails: 44 **yes**, 59 no, 3 abstain, 8 present not voting
- 22I- (substitution) replace with a more functional mobile friendly version
 - First straw poll: lack of support- 37 **yes**, 57 no, 5 abstain, 13 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong lack of support- 29 yes, 70 no, 4 **abstain**, 9 present not voting
 - Final voting: motion fails- 22 yes, 77 no, 4 **abstain**, 9 present not voting
- 23- Direct WB to develop a project plan to move to a three year cycle
 - First straw poll: consensus not in support- 21 **yes**, 88 no, 3 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Adopted first straw poll as final vote

- 24- World Board only gets one collected vote in New Business, WB only gets to vote in elections
 - First straw poll: support- 65 yes, 43 **no**, 5 abstentions, 1 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: support- 60 yes, 46 **no**, 5 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 3rd straw poll: lack of support- 54 yes, 56 **no**, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote: fails- 57 yes, 53 **no**, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting

Zonal Seating and Role of Zones

- 18- That any zone with two or more zonally seated regions that are not seated at the WSC can send one zonal delegate
 - First poll: 80 **yes**, 24 no, 3 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong support-80 **yes**, 32 no, 1 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - 3 straw poll: Consensus support- 84 **yes**, 27 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support- 85 **yes**, 26 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 18H- Change wording from two zonally seated regions to one zonally seated regions
 - Proposal H has been withdrawn from maker
 - First straw poll: 36 **yes**, 69 no, 6 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: consensus not in support- 18 **yes**, 93 no, 2 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Adopted 2nd straw poll as final vote
- 19- Zonal delegates will get one vote when voting on motions/proposals at WSC
 - First straw poll: strong support-83 **yes**, 23 no, 4 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong support- 82 **yes**, 25 no, 3 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Adopted 2nd straw poll as final vote
- 20- That zonal delegates receive the same funding as regional delegates
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 86 **yes**, 22 no, 4 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: consensus support-87 **yes**, 22 no, 3 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Adopted 2nd straw poll as final vote
- 21- Offers zonal delegates the same rights and privileges as a regional delegate
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 84 **yes**, 24 no, 3 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: consensus support- 88 **yes**, 21 no, 3 abstain, 2 present not voting
- 15- To hold a three day zonal form funded by NAWs to allow 2 representatives from each zone to meet, the regions or zones would pay for travel and meal expenses.

- First straw poll: strong support- 73 yes, 31 **no**, 3 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: support- 65 yes, 44 **no**, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 3rd straw poll: support- 61 yes, 48 **no**, 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 4th straw: poll lack of support- 53 yes, 54 **no**, 1 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Final vote: failed- 51 yes, 54 **no**, 2 abstain, 5 present not voting
- 16-Direct WB to direct project plan for budget, and timeline on the role of zones and zonal delegate participation at the WSC
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 92 **yes**, 15 no, 4 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote: 93 **yes**, 15 no, 3 abstain, 0 present not voting
- 17- To make the switch from RD to ZD seating in a 3 cycle
 - Maker withdrew motion
- 17L- amendment not heard
- 17F- amendment not heard

Events

- 13- To have more special days
 - First straw poll: strong support- 85 **yes**, 22 no, 3 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Final voting: consensus support- 89 **yes**, 20 no, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting
- 12- Assign a week each year as an NA PR week beginning in 2019
 - First straw poll: 79 **yes**, 25 no, 6 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: 83 **yes**, 23 no, 4 abstention, 3 strong support
 - Adopted 2nd straw poll as final vote

IDTs

- 14- motion to change the process in which IDTs are formed
 - First straw poll: lack of support 41 yes, 60 **no**, 8 abstain, 4 present not voting
- 14E- instead of using software, the translations would be the translations committee's responsibility
 - First straw poll: lack of support- 46 yes, 56 no, 4 abstain, 7 present not voting
 - Second straw poll: 45 yes, 59 no, 4 abstain, 4 present not
 - Final vote failed: 42 yes, 63 no, 4 abstain, 5 present not

DRTs

- 10- Motion to remove trustee bulletin 29 from publication
 - First straw poll: consensus not in support- 12 yes, 94 **no**, 4 no, 4 present not voting
 - Adopted first straw poll as final vote
- 11- Motion to remove persons receiving MAT from publication

- First straw poll: consensus not in support- 6 yes, 103 **no**, 3 abstinit, 2 present not voting
 - Adopted first straw poll as final vote
- 9- create project plan to create literature
 - First straw poll: strong support- 77 yes, 31 **no**, 4 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong support- 75 yes, 33 **no**, 4 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Adopted 2nd straw poll as final vote, motion passes
- 9k- to create a pamphlet that addresses how we behave towards those receiving MAT
 - First straw poll: support- 59 yes, 45 **no**, 3 abstient, 6 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: strong support- 75 yes, 34 no, 3 abstain, 2 **present not voting**
 - 3rd straw poll- support: support- 68 yes, 40 no, 2 abstain, 4 **present not voting**
 - 4th straw poll- support: support- 64 yes, 44 no, 2 abstain, 4 **present not voting**
 - Adopted 4th straw poll as final vote, motion fails

End of CAR Business

WSC 1 May, 2018

Public Relations

- Watched some PSAs from all over the world
- Viewed pictures of PR efforts from all over the world
 - Many PR committees are starting to track their effectiveness/reach of their PR efforts
 - Pharmacy helpline cards, bus ads, posters, PSAs, presentations, billboards, booths/tables, on big screen at sporting events
 - Number one question PR is asked regarding MAT is why we don't welcome those on it, not to change our stance on "abstinence"
 - Social media as a PR tool
 - Virtual PR meetings, shared best practices, training
 - Heard stories from active PR people from all over
- pr@na.org to sign up for NAWS PR Activities send your name email address and which service committee you serve on
- handi@na.org for inmate step writing, include your name email address and service committee
- 52% of our members currently take medication
 - 25% medical maintenance of health issues (blood pressure diabetes ect.)

- 22% mental heal
- 6% other
- 3% short term medical condition
- 1% MAT

HRP Report

- View pictures from slideshow

NAWS Report

- Paper cost continues to rise all over the world
- Asia, Middle East, Africa WSCNA 2021
 - Possible location in Middle East or Africa- WB is SERIOUSLY looking into this possibility so that Iran can be able to participate

Budget

- Print manufacturer, ship deliver warehouse, x3 along with other mitigating factors, is the breakdown of the purchase price of basic text
- Other literature is simply priced at 30% of cost of goods
- Production backlog mainly conjuring proofing not mechanical production costs
 - If you know a member that is good at proofreading please contact NAWS
- About 70 contracts with external resources for translations projects
- Several hundred pieces of literature currently in process of being translated into various languages

FIPT

- WB recommendation to change Article III of the Operational Rules
- Current inspection request from South FLorida Region
 - We heard from the SF Region
 - WB Response
 - “There is no way to describe this issue briefly” -Arne
 - FIPT came about after 1991 lawsuit over the baby blue
 - The topic caused a lot of disunity and attraction
 - CAR motions were adopted
 - Statements from WSC 1991 found in GTWSNA
 - 2008 WSC approved 6th edition basic text
 - It is now the only approved edition for production
 - Except those called out in translations policy
 - 1992 CAR the FIPT was created
 - Following there was a federal lawsuit to order NAWS to stop producing literature and stop the WSC, and to stop the creation of the FIPT, the suit went no where
 - 1993 some revisions were brought forth to the WSC, including the inspection request, which was passed by a simple majority

- Separate corporations and budgets operated outside the framework of the FIPT and its operational rules
 - World service conference
 - World service travel
 - H&I free literature
 - Board and committee meetings
- WSC 1998 combines world service office world convention corp and WSC admin and committees, now known as NA World Services Inc.
- One board, The World Board, that oversees all activities
- Current financial management and policies in GWSNA today
- Addressed the fact that the FIPT needed more revision but the WB focus has been on other things such as fellowship development
- 5 out of the 8 purposes of the WB is the oversight of the FIPT and NAWS
- WB is the financial oversight committee by policy
 - Also have an independent audit committee
 - They perform an extensive audit yearly
- “Claims that we are trying to hide something or not be transparent, are simply not true”
- If we are not providing the oversight you want you need to tell us
- “Only at the WSC, do we hear from the Trustors though you, delegates at the WSC”
- It never occurred to any of us at the last WSC that the trust rules would be used this way
- The only thing that the WB is asking is to change how the trust would be used now
- We plan to straw poll
 - Do you want to change the operational rules at some point
 - Are you ready to do this at this conference
 - When and where
 - Questions on current inspection
- We sought legal advice because a legal instrument was invoked
- We saw this as so significant that we sought outside help to make sure the WB members were well informed
- Numerous conversations with attorney over the month to be as informed as possible
- Scope of inspection
 - 2 years of detailed reports
 - Ten concerns, many beyond the boundaries of the FIPT
 - The inability to satisfy the full inspections of the request would only create further controversy
- The delegates of the WSC are the Trustors of the FIPT
- The WB was originally told the inspection would only take a week(not true)
- This has already taken a lot of staff and WB time and resources

- Estimated cost of inspection: 1,000 hours to pull the the inspection, 25 staff work weeks,
- Anthony now speaking
- Nothing is humorous, there has been a lot of inactive and exaggerated information about the request
- The practical issue: how to you prepare to deal with the things listed in the 10 concerns
- Q&A
 - Has there been discussion on reviewing and updating the FIPT
 - Regardless of what discussions we have as a board, the discussion needs to happen here at the WSC
 - 1000 hours it takes to provide the audit, what about cost
 - \$120,000 if NAWs and WB did all the work
 - Question for Jeff: 2 years of detail records, does that include absolutely everything
 - That records are made available for sampling, they don't plan on looking at every single record
 - If we agree that the Article V Section III operations section of FIPT needs to be changed, would that stop the inspection from going forward
 - That would not affect the current request
 - Has there been any discussion between the WB and SF Region for compromise
 - We've published all dialog (lawyer to lawyer)
 - What is the process for the fellowship as a whole to have a say in whether or not to go through the inspection
 - We are going to keep straw polling until we have an idea of how the fellowship feels
 - Do you agree that a change is needed to Article V Section III of the Operational Rules?
 - Straw poll results: Strong support- 76 yes, 19 no, 10 abstain, 9 present not voting
 - Are you prepared to make a decision this week?
 - Straw poll result: 42 yes, 66 no, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - As the trustor, directing the world board should we
 - Attempt to comply as requested: 45 in favor
 - Limit our response in some way-: 21 in favor
 - Not attempted to fulfill this request: 27 in favor
 - None of the above: 14 in favor
 - FIPT Discussion Continued on Thursday May 3rd
 - To change the wording from Article V Section III "any region" to WSC may inspect the records and operations of the Trust on behalf of the Beneficiary, if it chooses. The details should be decided by the WSC.
 - Do you agree that a change is needed in the future to Article V Section III of the Operational Rules? (This has no effect on the current inspection request)

- First straw poll: consensus support- 93 yes, 15 no, 4 abstain, 2 present not voting
- Question: Could we put a moratorium on the FIPT so that another region cannot request a costly inspection within the time from now until revisions have been made
 - Answer: we will be discussing that later in the conversation
- Questions being asked about the FIPT and the FIPT Operational rules, their differences and processes for revision
 - There is two very different processes to revise the FIPT and the FIPT Operational Rules. We are only concerned with the Operational Rules since the FIPT it's self is a legal document and overwhelmingly supported and decided to uphold at WSC 2016
- Question: Who will be in the workgroup and who chooses the workgroup?
 - Answer: The WB would be in the workgroup, and the WB chooses the work group. The WB is asking (if we decided to do this) to submit names of people that would be good on the work group, yourself, delegates, or other qualified/interested members.
- If we do not approve the changes in 2020, will moratorium be indefinite?
 - The only way we would continue is if the WSC agrees in principle
- Do you support a temporary suspension of the right for an inspection request from a single region? (This suspension would expire at WSC 2020)
 - First straw poll: 77 yes, 32 no, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting
- This moratorium is a necessary "ceasefire" (I liked this quote from another delegate)
- Question about Scope Creep, would it be allowed
 - We will go into that further in a bit, but it would probably depend on the content in which is being further questioned
- Do you wish to consider each proposal in the survey individually?
 - First Straw Poll: consensus support-89 yes, 19 no, 0 absati, 4 present not voting
- Breaking News: Jeff from SF Region, the SF Regional Chair, the WB Chair and Co-chair were able to compromise and come to an agreement. The region agreed to cut about half the inspection out. Limiting the inspection to one year instead of two, With a few exceptions. Limiting the inspection to only the Chatsworth Office. May 21st-May 25th are the dates for the inspection. SF Delegate said he has learned a lot about the applied application of the spiritual principles of trust and goodwill. He believes that this compromise was amazing, simple, and spiritual. This compromise was easy and he feels that he was able to work together. Because of the WB willingness to have this conversation and do the inspection he has a restored his faith in this process and our elected trusted servants.

- Acting as the Trustor, the delegates present at the WSC 2018, are suspending Article V Section III of the FIPT Operational Rules, while we make a decision about the future. This suspension would expire at the close of WSC 2020
 - First Straw Poll Results: Strong Support-85 yes, 23 no, 0- abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Final Vote (decision): Consensus Support- 89 yes, 22 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
- If there is a specific concern or allegation, would a region not be able to request information from the WB or NAWS
 - Regions and WB members are allowed to request financial information from NAWS as stated in Guide to World Services
- Budget and Project Presentation
- Why isn't travel listed as travel in the budget
 - The structure of these accounts was carried over from the old account.
- Could we get some tips or advice on a skill set that would assist zone in choosing someone to participate in the work group
 - Diversity and experience are highly valued

Election Results

World Board

1. Hammend A-T
2. Irene C.
3. Lib E.
4. Paul F.
5. Tana A.
6. Yoel G.

HRP

1. Craig R.
2. Nathanael M.

CoFAC

1. Daniel C.

Cat Motions

- Would you like to continue to not hear from the Maker of the motion and the WB response?
 - Straw poll result: 104 yes, 15 no 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
- To continue allowing members only 2 minutes instead of 3 minutes while discussing new business motions
 - Straw poll results: 112 yes, 9 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting

- Motion 31: To approve the WSC of the Future Project Plan for inclusion in the 2018-2020 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget
 - First straw poll: consensus support-116 **yes**, 6 no, 4 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion carries
- Motion 32: To approve the project [plan for Mental Health/Illness IP for inclusion of the 2018-2020 NAWS budget
 - First straw poll: consensus support-121 **yes**, 4 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion carries
- Motion 33: To approve the project plan for a new daily meditation book for inclusion at the 2018-2020 NAWS budget
 - First straw poll: Consensus support-117 **yes**, 8 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 34: To approve the Training and Tools project plan for inclusion in the 2018-2020 NAWS budget
 - First straw poll: consensus support-118 **yes**, 3 no, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 35: To approve 2018-2020 NAWS budget
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 118 **yes**, 5 no, 3 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 36: To adopt the 2018-2020 Reimbursement Policy
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 120 **yes**, 4 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 37: All service materials produced by the Local service toolbox project and the convention and events project will be identified as WB approved material, and follow the same approve process as service pamphlets. That means after the WB signs off on item drafts will be distributed to conference participants for 90 day review before being identified as approved.
 - First straw poll: consensus support- 122 **yes**, 4 no, 1 astain, 0 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 38: To seat the Netherlands Region
 - First straw poll: Consensus support-120 **yes**, 5 no, 1 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion Carries
- Motion 39: To seat Mexico-Occidente Region
 - First straw poll- Consensus support-115 **yes**, 6 no, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting

- Motion carries
- Motion 40: To seat Ukraine Region
 - First straw poll: Consensus support-120 **yes**, 3 no, 2 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Motion carries
- Motion 41: To seat Eastern Pennsylvania Region
 - First straw poll: lack of support- Lack of support- 54 **yes**, 63 no, 4 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support- Lack of support-55 yes, 63 **no**, 4 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - 3rd staw poll: lack of support- Lack of support-48 yes, 73 **no**, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Motion Fails
- Motion 42: Seating the Nordeste Brazil Region at the WSC
 - First straw poll: lack of support-52 yes, 67 **no**, 2 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support- 54 yes, 66 **no**, 1 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Motion fails
- Motion 43: To seat the Brazil Central Region
 - First straw poll: lack of support-51 yes, 67 **no**, 1 abstain, 7 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support- 60 yes, 60 no, 2 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - 3rd straw poll: support- support-64 yes, 58 no, 1 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - 4th straw poll: lack of support- 59 yes, 63 no, 0 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Final vote- lack of support- 58 yes, 63 no, 0 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - Motion fails
- Motion 44: To seat Region Minas Brazil
 - First straw poll: lack of support-47 yes, 73 no, 0 abstain, 7 present not voting
 - 2nd straw poll: lack of support-55 yes, 66 no, 0 abstain, 6 present not voting
 - Final vote- 57 yes, 65 no, 1 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Motion fails

New Ideas & Proposals

- Motion 50: All decisions at WSC, excluding WSC elections but including changes to motions or proposals and requests for a roll call vote or other procedural decisions,

require a two-thirds majority of those present and voting to vote in the affirmative to be adopted.

- First straw poll results: Consensus support-113 **yes**, 10 no, 1 abstain, 1 present not voting
- Final Vote: Consensus support-112 **yes**, 13 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
- Motion Passes
- Motion 51: Rename the session CAR Discussions and Decisions. Eliminate formal CAR Business. If a motion had consensus in the first straw poll (80% or more of voting participants in support or not in support of the motion), the conference will have the option to discuss and decide on that motion without any changes (amendments) if participants wish. The Co Facilitators will make it clear to all participants when the Conference is making a final decision. Final decision on Motion will be taken in the CAR discussion and decision session.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support-119 **yes**, 6 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support- 120 **yes**, 5 no, 0 abstain, 0 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 52: For CAT business: Address Conference Approval Track (CAT)- related decisions in the same way described above for CAR-Related decisions, including renaming the session CAT Material Discussions and Decisions. The CAT Material Discussion and Decisions session would include: Approval of NAWS budget, Approval of project plans, Decision on any requests for seating (including any proposals submitted at the WSC), Most WB motions included in the CAT, Any amendments to change any of the above items.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support- 119 **yes**, 5 no, 0 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Final Vote: Consensus support-121 **yes**, 3 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion Passes
- Motion 53: To acknowledge in the upcoming GWSNA, that formal new business has been eliminated. The process used at WSC 2018 to discuss New Ideas will continue to be developed in the cycle. A motion will be included in the 2020 CAT and offered at the start of WSC 2020 to outline the process to be used for that Conference.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support- 108 **yes**, 9 no, 5 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Final vote- 114 **yes**, 9 no, 2 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 54: To establish a deadline of ten days prior to the opening day of the WSC for amendments to the CAR and CAT Motions

- First straw poll results: Consensus support-102 **yes**, 15 no, 4 abstain, 5 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support-107 **yes**, 13 no, 2 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 55: Approve a vetting process for amendments to motions. The WSC Co Facilitators will ensure that the amendments are clear and lend themselves to a yes/no vote.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support-108 **yes**, 14 no, 1 abstain, 3 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support-111 **yes**, 11 no, 1 abstain, 2 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 56: To call items for consideration that appear in the CAR and CAT and items related to seating- motions. To call items submitted to amend a motion- amendments. To call items submitted as New Ideas- proposals.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support-117 **yes**, 4 no, 0 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support- 113 **yes**, 10 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 57: To acknowledge that the WSC has moved away from distributing and collecting election ballots by roll call. The replacement language for the current policies will be presented to participants in the 2020 CAT
 - First Straw poll results: Consensus support-112 **yes**, 3 no, 7 abstain, 4 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support-119 **yes**, 2 no, 2 absatin, 3 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 58: To limit discussion to two minutes per person, Additional time may be allotted, at the discretion of the co-facilitator, for reasons such as translations
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support-117 **yes**, 9 no, 0 abstain, 0 present not voting
 - Motion passes
- Motion 59: To begin discussions of motions without hearing from the maker of the motion or the World Board.
 - First straw poll results: Consensus support-113 **yes**, 12 no, 0 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Final vote: Consensus support- 109 **yes**, 15 no, 1 abstain, 1 present not voting
 - Motion passes